Case 23-10423-mkn Doc 1256 Entered 09/19/23 10:49:57 Page 1 o)f 1!
---	-------

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

BRE'	ΤT	Α.	AXI	ELROD,	ESQ.
T. T	1	D	TA T	5050	

Nevada Bar No. 5859

NICHOLAS A. KOFFROTH, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 16264

ZACHARY T. WILLIAMS, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 16023

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 700

Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

Telephone: (702) 262-6899

Facsimile: (702) 597-5503

Email: baxelrod@foxrothschild.com nkoffroth@foxrothschild.com zwilliams@foxrothschild.com

Counsel for Debtor

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Case No. BK-23-10423-mkn In re CASH CLOUD, INC., Chapter 11 dba COIN CLOUD,

Debtor.

DEBTOR'S OBJECTION TO ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM OF CHRISTOPHER MCALARY

Hearing Date: October 19, 2023

Hearing Time: 10:30 a.m.

Cash Cloud, Inc. ("Debtor"), debtor and debtor in possession in the above-referenced chapter 11 bankruptcy case (the "Chapter 11 Case"), by and through its undersigned counsel, Fox Rothschild LLP, hereby submits this objection (the "Objection") to the Administrative Expense Claim [ECF No. 894] (the "Admin Claim"), filed by Christopher McAlary ("McAlary"). This Objection is made pursuant to Section 502 of chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code (as amended, the "Bankruptcy Code"), Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), Rule 3007 of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice (the "Local Rules"), and is supported by the Memorandum of Points and Authorities set forth below, the arguments and representations of counsel at the hearing on the Objection, and the record in this Chapter 11 Case.

26

27

28

. .

As required by Local Rule 3007(a)(4), a copy of the Admin Claim is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.**

Based on the foregoing, the Debtor requests that the Court enter an Order, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**: (i) sustaining the Objection; (ii) disallowing the Admin Claim in its entirety; and (iii) granting such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED this 19th day of September 2023.

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

By: /s/Brett A. Axelrod
BRETT A. AXELROD, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 5859
NICHOLAS A. KOFFROTH, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 16264
ZACHARY T. WILLIAMS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 16023
1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 700
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
Counsel for Debtor

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

INTRODUCTION

- 1. McAlary makes no effort to meet his burden of demonstrating that the expenses for which he seeks administrative priority under section 503(b)(1)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code are actual, necessary costs of preserving the Debtor's Estate. Accordingly, the Admin Claim must be disallowed in its entirety.
- 2. Further, even if McAlary had met his burden (he did not) of establishing administrative expense priority, the Admin Claim cannot be allowed until resolution of litigation over, among other things, whether McAlary received fraudulent transfers. If McAlary is found to have received fraudulent transfers, the Admin Claim must be disallowed until he has paid back the amount of the transfers under section 502(d) of the Bankruptcy Code.

II.

JURISDICTION

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B).
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

III.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 5. The Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on February 7, 2023 (the "Petition Date").
- 6. On July 11, 2023, the Court entered its *Order Establishing Administrative Claim Bar Date For Filing Proofs Of Administrative Expense Claim And Approving Form, Manner And Sufficiency Of Notice Thereof* [ECF No. 823], setting July 20, 2023 as the bar date for filing all claims for administrative expenses that had accrued up until that date.
- 7. On July 20, 2023, McAlary filed the Admin Claim in the amount of \$136,961.48 for "Operational software costs and legal fees and costs." *See* Exhibit 2.

2

1

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

IV.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

McAlary Fails to Meet His Burden of Proving Actual and Necessary Expense.

Section 503(b) of the Bankruptcy Code states that administrative priority will only be accorded to claims incurred for "the actual, necessary costs and expenses of preserving the estate." 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(1)(A).

"The terms 'actual' and 'necessary' are to be construed narrowly and must be the actual and necessary costs of preserving the estate for the benefit of its creditors." Einstein/Noah Bagel Corp. v. Smith (In re BCE W., L.P.), 319 F.3d 1166, 1173 (9th Cir. 2003) (internal quotation marks omitted). Keeping costs to a minimum "serves the overwhelming concern of the Code: Preservation of the estate." Burlington N. R.R. Co. v. Dant & Russell, Inc. (In re Dant & Russell, Inc.), 853 F.2d 700, 706 (9th Cir. 1988). "This limitation is necessary to protect the limited assets of the estate for the benefit of the unsecured creditors' interests and is particularly important in a Chapter 11 case where a partial liquidation is necessary " Id.

The burden of proving an administrative expense claim is on the claimant. "The claimant must show that the debt asserted to be an administrative expense (1) arose from a transaction with the debtor-in-possession as opposed to the preceding entity (or, alternatively, that the claimant gave consideration to the debtor-in-possession); and (2) directly and substantially benefitted the estate." Microsoft Corp. v. Dak Indus., Inc. (In re DAK Indus., Inc.), 66 F.3d 1091, 1094 (9th Cir. 1995) (internal quotation marks omitted); see also Saxton v. Lisowski (In re Saxton, Inc.), No. BAP NV-06-1354-ESD, 2007 WL 7540972, at *5 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. July 30, 2007) ("the burden of proof is on the party seeking payment to establish that the debt arose from a transaction with the debtor in possession and directly and substantially benefitted the estate").

McAlary makes no attempt to demonstrate that the expenses for which the Admin Claim asserts administrative priority either (a) arose from a transaction with the Debtor as debtor-inpossession or (b) directly and substantially benefitted the Estate. Instead, the Admin Claim simply states that McAlary is seeking administrative expense priority for "Operational software costs: 6/7/2023 - 7/16/2023 and legal fees and costs: 2/6/2023 - 6/12/2023." See Exhibit 2.

Exhibit A to the Admin Claim is a list of what appear to be charges (including three entries of "Estimated Average") aggregating \$31,344.44, with no explanation of what they are (although supposedly under the "operational software" umbrella), whether they were incurred by the Debtor as debtor-in-possession, whether they were paid by McAlary, and how they directly and substantially benefitted the Estate. *See* Exhibit 2, Exhibit A.

Exhibit B to the Admin Claim is even less descriptive: a bill from Carlyon Cica Chtd. to McAlary for "legal fees and expenses" in the amount of \$105,617.04. There is no explanation of the legal services rendered (let alone detailed invoices describing the services provided), whether they were incurred in a transaction with the Debtor as debtor-in-possession, or how they directly and substantially benefitted the Estate. *See* Exhibit 2, Exhibit A.

Moreover, to the extent that McAlary attempts to support his Admin Claim by reliance on a prepetition agreement with the Debtor, the Admin Claim must be denied for the further reason that a right arising from a prepetition contract is categorized as a prepetition claim. *See Olin v. Riverwood Int'l Corp. (In re Manville Forest Prods. Corp.)*, 209 F.3d 125, 129-30 (2d Cir. 2000); *see also Colonial Sur. Co. v. Weizman*, 564 F.3d 526, 529-30 (1st Cir. 2009); *Newby v. Enron Corp. (In re Enron Corp.)*, Nos. H-01-3624 & G-05-0012, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 34029, 2008 WL 3509840, at *6 (S.D. Tex. July 25, 2008).

In short, McAlary has woefully failed to meet his burden of establishing that the expenses for which he seeks administrative expense priority under section 503(b)(1)(A) both (a) arose from a transaction with the Debtor as debtor-in-possession, and (b) directly and substantially benefitted the Estate. Accordingly, the Admin Claim must be disallowed in its entirety.

B. Admin Claim Cannot Be Allowed Until Litigation Over McAlary's Fraudulent Transfer Liability is Resolved.

Even McAlary met his burden (he did not) of justifying administrative expense priority under section 503(b)(1)(A), the Admin Claim cannot be allowed until the pending litigation over McAlary's status as the alleged recipient of fraudulent transfers has been resolved.

On September 1, 2023, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "<u>Committee</u>") filed its *Complaint* (the "<u>Complaint</u>") against McAlary, initiating Adversary Case No. 23-01125-mkn.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Section 502(d) provides, in relevant part, that "the court shall disallow any claim of any entity from which property is recoverable under section . . . 550 . . . of this title or that is a transferee of a transfer avoidable under section . . . 544 [or] 548 . . . of this title, unless such entity or transferee has paid the amount, or turned over any such property, for which such entity or transferee is liable under section . . . 550 of this title." 11 U.S.C. § 502(d); see El Paso City v. Am. W. Airlines, Inc. (In re Am. W. Airlines, Inc.), 217 F.3d 1161, 1165 (9th Cir. 2000) (claim of transferee of avoidable transfer must be disallowed under section 502(d) unless transferee has relinquished the transfer).

If the Committee prevails on the fraudulent transfer claims in the Complaint, even assuming McAlary had met his burden of establishing administrative expense priority (he has not), the Admin Claim cannot be allowed until McAlary pays back the full amount of the avoidable transfers.

///

26 | /// 27 | /// 28 | ///

///

25

V.

CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing, Cash Cloud respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**: (i) sustaining the Objection; (ii) disallowing the Admin Claim in its entirety; and (iii) granting such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated this 19th day of September 2023.

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

By: /s/Brett A. Axelrod

BRETT A. AXELROD, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 5859

NICHOLAS A. KOFFROTH, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 16264

ZACHARY T. WILLIAMS, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 16023

1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 700

Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

Counsel for Debtor

EXHIBIT 1

148985488.3

	BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DI		ADM	INSTRATIVE LAIM FORM
In re: Cash Cloud, Inc.		Case No. 23-10423-mkn	PLEASE N	
		□ Check box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to your claim. Attach copy of statement giving particulars.	assert an ad claim that a	nould only be used to ministrative expense rose or accrued after 2023, but before July
Name of Creditor and Addres	ss: the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money or property	☐ Check box if you have never received any notices from the bankruptcy court in this case.		
Chris McAlary				
10725 Beringer I Las Vegas, NV 89		☐ Check box if the address differs from the address on the envelope sent to you by the court.		
Creditor Telephone Number (70	02)		THIS SPACE	E IS FOR COURT USE ONLY
	es should be sent (if different from above):			
Carlyon Cica Cht 265 E. Warm Spr Las Vegas, Nevac	ings Rd., Ste. 107			
Creditor Telephone Number (7	02 685-4444			
Account or other number by wl		Check here if this claim: □ repl □ ame	laces ends a previously file	d claim, dated:
1. Basis for Claim:				
Operational softwa	are costs and legal fees and costs			
2. Date debt was incurred: O	perational software costs: 6/7/2023 - 7/16/2	023 and legal fees and costs:2	2/6/2023 - 6/12/20	023
3. Brief description of claim, i	ncluding the basis for the priority nature of the cla	im (if any) (attach additional infor	mation):	
See Exhibit A an	d Exhibit B			
4. Total Amount of Administr	rative Claim: \$ 136,961.48			
	cludes interest or other charges in addition to the princ	cipal amount of the claim. Attach ite	emized statement of a	all interest or additional charges.
5. CREDITS: The amount of a	ll payments on this claim has been credited for the pur	pose of making this proof of claim.		
accounts, contracts, court docur	NTS: <u>Attach copies of supporting documents</u> , such as ments, mortgages security agreements, and evidence o TROYED AFTER SCANNING. If the documents are	f perfection of lien. DO NOT SEND	ORIGINAL DOCU	MENTS. ATTACHED
7. DATE-STAMPED COPY:	To receive an acknowledgement of the filing of your	claim, enclose a stamped self-addres	sed envelope and cop	by of this proof of claim.
July 20, 2023 through the C	ted proof of claim form must be filed electronically Court's CM/ECF System or mailed to the address b District of Nevada at the address below on or before	elow so that it is received by the C	lerk of the	THIS SPACE IS FOR COURT USE ONLY
Clerk of the United States Ba 300 Las Vegas Blvd. South,	unkruptcy Court, District of Nevada Las Vegas, NV 89101			
DATE	SIGNATURE: The person filing this claim must sign it. and state address and telephone number			
7/20/2023	/s/ Chris McAlary	if different from the notice address above	л. лиасн сору от рож	or anomey, it any.
	rar Officially			

Penalty for presenting fraudulent claim is a fine of up to \$500,000 or imprisonment for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152 AND 3571.

EXHIBIT "A"

Transaction Da Po	osted Date	Card No.	Description	Category	Debit
2023-06-07	2023-06-09	9304	CLOUDINARY LTD	Coin Cloud	\$549.00
2023-06-07	2023-06-08	9304	HEROKU* MAY-82032396	Coin Cloud	\$374.00
2023-06-11	2023-06-12	9304	COX LAS VEGAS COMM SV	Coin Cloud	\$96.15
2023-06-12	2023-06-12	9304	QR.IO GENERATOR	Coin Cloud	\$35.00
2023-06-14	2023-06-15	9304	TYPEFORM, S.L.	Coin Cloud	\$99.00
2023-06-15	2023-06-16	9304	WEBFLOW.COM	Coin Cloud	\$300.00
2023-06-16	2023-06-17	9304	WEBFLOW.COM	Coin Cloud	\$45.00
2023-07-01	2023-07-03	9304	GOOGLE *CLOUD LQW7LS	Coin Cloud	\$848.09
2023-07-03	2023-07-04	9304	MONGODBCLOUD COINCL	Coin Cloud	\$764.21
2023-07-05	2023-07-06	9304	METEOR	Coin Cloud	\$6,340.59
2023-07-07	2023-07-10	9304	CLOUDINARY LTD	Coin Cloud	\$549.00
2023-07-10	2023-07-11	9304	HEROKU* JUN-83198835	Coin Cloud	\$374.00
2023-07-12	2023-07-12	9304	QR.IO GENERATOR	Coin Cloud	\$35.00
2023-07-14	2023-07-15	9304	TYPEFORM, S.L.	Coin Cloud	\$99.00
2023-07-15	2023-07-17	9304	WEBFLOW.COM	Coin Cloud	\$300.00
2023-07-16	2023-07-17	9304	WEBFLOW.COM	Coin Cloud	\$45.00
2023-07-24			Estimated Average		\$5,122.85
2023-07-31			Estimated Average		\$5,122.85
2023-08-07			Estimated Average		\$5,122.85
2023-08-14			Estimated Average		\$5,122.85

Total \$31,344.44

EXHIBIT "B"



INVOICE

Carlyon Cica Chtd. 265 E. Warm Springs #107 Las Vegas, NV 89119

Invoice #: 2282

Date: 07-17-2023

Chris McAlary

Matter Number:01285-Cash Cloud Inc.

Services

Dates	Atty	Description	Quantity	Rate	Total
02-06-2023 - 06-12-23	DMC	Legal fees and expenses	1.00	105,617.04	\$105,617.04

Services Subtotal: \$105,617.04

\$105,617.04	Subtotal
\$105,617.04	Total
\$0.00	Payment
\$105,617.04	Balance Owing

FOX FOTHSCHILD LLP 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 700 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 (702) 262-6899 (702) 597-5503 (fax)

EXHIBIT 2

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Case No. BK-23-10423-mkn

CASH CLOUD, INC.,
dba COIN CLOUD,

Debtor.

Chapter 11

ORDER SUSTAINING DEBTOR'S
OBJECTION TO ADMINISTRATIVE
EXPENSE CLAIM OF CHRISTOPHER
MCALARY

Hearing Date: October 19, 2023

Hearing Time: 10:30 a.m.

This Court having reviewed and considered the objection (the "Objection") of Cash Cloud, Inc. (the "Debtor"), to the administrative expense claim [ECF No. 894] (the "Admin Claim"), filed by Christopher McAlary ("McAlary"), pursuant to Section 502 of chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code, Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and Rule 3007 of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice; and the Debtor having appeared by and through its counsel, Fox Rothschild LLP; and the Court having considered the arguments of counsel at the hearing on the Objection held on October 19, 2023; and the Court having stated its findings of fact and conclusions of law on the record at the hearing on the Objection, which findings of fact and conclusions of law are incorporated herein by this reference in accordance with Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052; and for good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Objection is SUSTAINED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Admin Claim is hereby disallowed in its entirety; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court shall, and hereby does, retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation of this Order.

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 700 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 (702) 282-6899 (702) 597-5503 (fax) Prepared and Respectfully Submitted by:

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

By: /s/Brett A. Axelrod 3 BRETT A. AXELROD, ESQ. 4 Nevada Bar No. 5859 NICHOLAS A. KOFFROTH, ESQ. 5 Nevada Bar No. 16264 ZACHARY T. WILLIAMS, ESQ. 6 Nevada Bar No. 16023 7 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 700 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 8 Counsel for Debtor

CERTIFICATION OF COUNSEL PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 9021

In accordance with Local Rule 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies as follows:

	The Court has waived the requirement of approval in LR 9021(b)(1).
	No party appeared at the hearing or filed an objection to the motion
	I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below:
_	

☐ I certify that this is a case under Chapter 7 or 13, that I have served a copy of this order with the motion pursuant to LR 9014(g), and that no party has objected to the form or content of the order.

###